DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 264 036 PS 015 520

AUTHOR Ferratier, Louis

TITLE Attitudes, Experience and Education of Illinois

Elementary Principals Concerning Early Childhood

Education.

INSTITUTION Illinois State Board of Education, Springfield, Dept.

of Planning, Research and Evaluation.

PUB DATE Jul 85 NOTE 37p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) --

Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Administrator Attitudes; *Early Childhood Education;

Educational Demand; Educational Policy; Educational Supply; Elementary Education; Inservice Education; *Kindergarten; Parent Education; Policy Formation; *Principals; Private Schools; *Program Evaluation; Public Schools; School Age Day Care; School Entrance

Age; *Self Evaluation (Groups); State Surveys;

Teaching Experience; Tuition

IDENTIFIERS Full Day Programs; Half Day Programs; *Illinois;

Latchkey Children

ABSTRACT

This paper reports the results of a statewide survey of elementary school principals by the Illinois State Board of Education to obtain information on the status of early childhood education in Illinois public and nonpublic schools. Such information has important implications for principals developing policies and programs, for determining the certification and placement of principals in schools, and in designing and implementing preservice and inservice programs to enhance the knowledge and skills of principals. More specifically, the survey collected information about: (1) the supply and demand for early childhood education programs and services as perceived by local school officials, (2) the various types of kindergarten programs currently in operation among the public and nonpublic schools, (3) the number and types of early childhood education programs, and (4) the opinions of local school officials concerning selected early childhood education issues. Conclusions drawn indicate that large scale inservice training is needed for principals in early childhood education if the provision of such programming in the public schools is to be expanded and improved and if principals are to play an educational leadership role in the process. Extensive tables summarizing survey results and the survey form are included. (DST)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization organization organization.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

Attitudes, Experience and Education of Illinois Elementary Principals Concerning Early Childhood Education

ILLINOIS STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Louise Ferratier

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Department of Planning, Research, and Evaluation Springfield, Illinois July, 1985



Walter W. Naumer, Jr., Chairman State Board of Education

Ted Sanders State Superintendent of Education



FOREWORD

In response to a request by the Illinois State Board of Education, staff conducted a comprehensive study of early childhood education. This report on the results of a survey of elementary school principals about early childhood education issues was prepared by Dr. Louis Ferratier, Research and Statistics Section, Department of Planning, Research and Evaluation. The interpretations and conclusions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the State Board of Education.

Ted Sanders State Superintendent of Education



TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	Page jj
Introduction	
Purpose	
Limitations	
Formal Education and Experience with Early Childhood Education	;
Teaching Experience	7 8
and Workshop Attendance	8
Responses to Issue Statements	10
Responses of All Principals	11 13
Responses of Principals by School Type and	14
Course Completion	15
Workshop Attendance	16
Responses of Principals by Background and Experience	17
Responses of Principals with Differences in Expertise Responses to Issue Statements across All Background/	17
Experience Groups	18
Summary and Conclusions	25
Summary	25
Conclusions	26
ppendix A: Early Childhood Education Survey Instrument	27



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE		PAGE
1	Number and Percentage of Principals Reporting Teacher Experience at Preprimary, Primary, Middle and		
2	High School Levels		6
3	of Principals	•	6
	Coursework Status		7
4	Distribution of Principals by Workshop Attendance		
5	Status and School Type	•	8 9
6	Preprimary Teaching and Workshop Experience of Principals.	•	9
7	Childcare and Educational Experiences for Infants and Children Below 3 Years of Age		_
8	Parent Education Programs for Teenage and Adult Parents.	•	12
9	Tuition for Childcare and Preschool Programs Based upon the Parents' Ability to Pay	_	
10	Childcare or Preschool Services Provided at No Cost		
11	to Parents	•	13
12	Chronological Age Criterion		13
13	Kindergarten Program Schedules	•	13
14	Before and after School Childcare Services	•	13
15	Percentages of Public and Nonpublic Principals Agreeing with Issue Statements		
16	Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Issue	•	17
	Statements by School Type and Teaching Experience		15
17	Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Issue Statements by School Type and Coursework Completion		
18	Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Issue	•	10
	Statements by School Type and Workshop Attendance		17
19	Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Issue Statements by School Type and Extreme of Expertise		
20	Chronological Age as an Admission Criterion	•	19
21	Latchkey Services		
22	All-Day, Everyday Kindergarten	•	20 21
23	Prekindergarten Programs		22
24	Programs for 1, 2, or 3 year olds	•	23
25	Parent Education Programs	•	24
26	Tuition Charges Based on Ability to Pay	•	25
27	Free Programs and Services	•	26



-vi-

INTRODUCTION

The State Board of Education directed its staff to conduct a study of early childhood education. As a part of this study, a survey instrument was developed to obtain baseline information indicative of the status of early childhood education in the public and nonpublic schools of Illinois. The survey instrument was designed to collect information encompassing four broad areas: (1) the supply and demand for early childhood education programs and services as perceived by local school officials, (2) the various types of kindergarten programs currently in operation among the public and nonpublic schools, (3) the number and types of early childhood education programs and (4) the opinions of local school officials concerning selected early childhood education issues. (See Appendix A for a copy of the survey instrument.)

<u>Purpose</u>

The purposes of this report are to (a) present the background and rationale underlying the survey; (b) profile the experience, education and training reported by principals; (c) describe the opinions of principals on early childhood education issues; and (d) describe the pattern of responses to the opinion items by groups of principals in relation to their reported teaching, coursework and training experiences. Such information has important implications for developing policies and programs for current principals, determining the certification and placement of principals in schools, and in designing and implementing preservice and inservice programs to enhance the knowledge and skills of principals.

Background

In recent years, the State Board of Education has undertaken the reevaluation of issues and assumptions that underlie the functions and purposes of public schools in implementing public education policy in Illinois. Commonly called the Mandates Studies, these efforts are ongoing and have resulted in identification of a number of education issues. One such issue involved early childhood education.

In the fall of 1983, a task force of State Board of Education staff was formed and charged with the responsibility of conducting a comprehensive study of early childhood education and developing policy alternatives for consideration by the State Board of Education. The Task Force initiated a substantial search of the literature on child development and early childhood education, formulated a working definition of early childhood education, reviewed available data on public school programs and services offered to very young children, and visited a number of public and nonpublic schools which operated various types of early childhood education programs that were known to the staff.

In the spring of 1984, a census of public and nonpublic schools concerning the nature and extent of their early childhood education programs and services was planned, and development of the instrumentation was begun. The first step was selection of the population to be surveyed.



Principals were chosen as the local school officials to be surveyed. Four major considerations led to this decision. First, Section 10-21.4a of the School Code of Illinois specifies:

The principal shall assume administrative responsibilities and instructional leadership, . . . for the planning, operation and evaluation of the educational program of the area to which he is assigned. The principal shall submit recommendations to the superintendent concerning the appointment, retention, promotion and assignment of all personnel assigned to the attendance center.

Second, it was assumed that the principal was most likely to have the information concerning the various instructional programs offered in the school. Third, it was assumed that the attitudes and opinions of the principal were instrumental in identifying the need for early childhood education programs within the residential area served by the school. Fourth, it was assumed that the opinions held by the principal would be reflected in the provision of such services.

In designing the instrument, it was also assumed that the responses of principals to the early childhood education issue questions might be influenced by (a) teaching experience, (b) any formal coursework related to early childhood education, (c) any workshops on early childhood education, and (d) concern regarding the costs of early childhood education programs. Therefore, data analysis was conducted to determine whether these factors had a differential effect on responses. The opinion questions were prefaced with a request that principals respond to the questions or statements as they would if costs were not a factor. Also, principals were asked to complete items indicating the grade levels at which they had teaching experience and whether they had completed formal coursework or attended workshops related to early childhood education so that the possible influence of these factors on responses could be analyzed.

The opinion items were designed to focus upon four key issues: (1) school admission criteria, (2) full-day kindergartens for children, (3) whether or not public schools should operate childcare, preschool and parent education programs, and (4) whether any such programs operated by public schools should be operated on a tuition-free basis or on a tuition basis that recognizes the differential ability of parents to pay the full tuition costs. These four key issues were addressed by formulating eight statements or propositions to which the principals were asked to indicate their agreement or disagreement.

<u>Instrument Design</u>

The instrument design was structured to gather information that would address the key issues identified. A draft instrument was prepared and selectively distributed for critical expert review. The initial draft instrument was revised on the basis of the critiques received, and a second draft instrument was then pilot-tested with a select group of public school principals. This second instrument was revised and submitted for critique by the Advisory Council of Nonpublic Schools, and a third, revised draft instrument was pilot-tested among both public and nonpublic school principals.



The instruments were mailed in mid-September 1984 to 4,041 school principals, representing 2,946 public schools and 1,095 registered nonpublic schools. Follow-up mailings were conducted in mid-October, mid-November and mid-December. The data collection effort was terminated at the end of January 1985 and yielded an overall response rate of 90%, with a 94% response rate from public school principals and a 79.5% response rate from nonpublic school principals. A total of 3,492 principals (86.4% of the population) responded to Part III of the survey instrument that contained the issue statements and the items concerning teaching experience, formal coursework, and workshop attendance.

Because many respondents checked the grade levels at which they had taught, rather than recording the number of years' experience at each level as requested, analysis was limited to a description of their teaching experience. A few principals reported teaching experience in ungraded schools or one-room schools.

Definition of Terms

For purposes of this report, terms were defined as follows.

Early childhood education means programs and services directed toward the care, development, and education of nonhandicapped children between the ages of birth and the time of entry into first grade.

<u>Childcare services</u> are services that provide primarily supervision and custodial care for children. Childcare services may have an educational component.

Preschool services are services which emphasize educational and developmental activities as the primary focus of an organized and planned program for children not yet enrolled in kindergarten.

<u>Latchkey programs</u> are programs designed to provide supervision of children before and/or after regular school hours.

Transitional grades are intermediate grades that overlap two or more of the traditional grades of kindergarten, 1, 2, or 3, and are designed for students who need additional educational services before transferring into the regular grade. Children enrolled in these transitional grades may or may not be eligible for special education services.

Academically oriented programs refers to programs where the primary emphasis is on direct, formal instruction to develop reading and math skills.

Experiential/social/play oriented programs refers to programs where the primary emphasis is on child-selected activities with concrete materials and experiences based on individual children's readiness for such experiences.

Parent education programs are programs designed to teach parents of young children methods and techniques of effective child development.



Elementary school is used in this report to describe broadly any school which encompasses any one or more grades below ninth grade or which encompasses an ungraded curriculum for children between the ages of 5-15 years. Excluded are special education schools for handicapped children.

<u>Preprimary</u> grades or levels are defined as kindergarten and prekindergarten, or programs encompassing both the pre-kindergarten and kindergarten grade spans.

Primary grades are defined as the common school grades 1, 2 and 3.

Limitations

The findings of this study are limited by the following major factors or considerations.

- (1) The study population, while encompassing all public and registered nonpublic elementary schools, does not encompass all private institutions which may characterize themselves as schools and may offer early childhood education programs, but which fail to register with the State Board of Education.
- (2) The study population did not include licensed childcare facilities which, as a condition of licensure, must include an education component and which were not registered with the State Board of Education as nonpublic schools or were not operated by a public school.

FORMAL EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE WITH EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

The concern regarding the influence of teaching experience on principals, responses was addressed by asking principals to indicate the number of years of teaching experience at five grade levels and across two grade spans. Specifically, principals were asked to record the number of years of teaching experience they possessed at the (a) prekindergarten level; (b) kindergarten level; (c) first-grade level; (d) second-grade level; (e) third-grade level; (f) middle-school level, i.e. grades 4 through 8; and

(g) high-school level, i.e. grades 9 through 12.

The concern regarding the influence of early childhood education coursework or workshops on responses was addressed by asking principals to respond to the following question: "Within the last 10 years have you, the principal, had any formal coursework or attended workshops that focused primarily on the development of young children (i.e. children below age 8)? The responses of principals to this item were summarized and used in analyzing the response patterns in relationship to their variables.

Teaching Experience

Almost 81% of the 3,492 principals with prior teaching experience indicated they had teaching experience at the middle-school level, while 34.8% of the principals reported experience at the primary level. More than 28% reported teaching experience at the high-school level, and 13% reported teaching experience at the preprimary level. More nonpublic school principals



(21.4%) reported teaching experience at the preprimary level than did public school principals (9.8%), while public school principals were more likely to have middle school (82.5%) or high school (31.3%) teaching experience than nonpublic school principals. (See Table 1.)

TABLE 1

Number and Percentage of Principals Reporting Teaching Experience at Preprimary, Primary, Middle and High School Levels

Level	Grade Span	# With Experience at Specified Level		% of Responding Principals			
-	_	Public	Nonpublic	Total	Public	Nonpublic	Total
Pre-Primary	Pre-K	248	207	455	9.8%	21.4%	13.0%
Primary	1-3	696	519	1,215	27.6%	53.7%	34.8%
Middle	4-8	2,084	734	2,818	82.5%	76.0%	80.7%
High	9-12	791	212	1,003	31.3%	22.0%	28.7%

Almost 40% of the principals reported teaching experience limited to only one of the four levels, while the remaining 60.2% of the principals reported teaching experiences spanning two or more of the four levels. Approximately one-third of the principals (32.7%) reported experience limited to middle-school, 17.6% of the principals reported experience spanning both primary and middle-school levels, and 16.4% reported experience spanning middle-school and high-school levels. Virtually every possible combination of experience was reported by at least a few principals. (See Table 2.)

TABLE 2
Composite Profiles of Teaching Experience of Principals

Levels Taught	# of Principals in Group	<u>%</u>
Preprimary	45	1.29
Preprimary/Primary	83	2.38
Preprimary/Middle	31	0.89
Preprimary/High	2	0.06
Preprimary/Primary/Middle	194	5.56
Preprimary/Primary/High	5	0.14
Preprimary/Middle/High	12	0.34
Preprimary/Primary/Middle	83	2.38
High		
Primary Only	57	1.63
Primary/Middle	612	17.54
Primary/High	- 10	0.29
Primary/Middle/High	169	4.84
Middle Only	1,141	32.70
Middle/High	573	16.42
High Only	148	4.24
None Indicated	<u>324</u>	9.29
Total	3,489	99.99

Formal Coursework

Virtually one-third (33.5%) of all the principals indicated they had completed a formal course on the development of young children (i.e., children under age 8) within the past 10 years. As shown in Table 3, more nonpublic school principals (38.5%) reported completing such a course than did public school principals (31.6%).

TABLE 3

Distribution of Principals by School Type and Formal Coursework Status

School Type		Coursework mpleted	No Fo Coursewor #	rmal k Completed
Public	657	(31.6%)	1,425	(68.4%)
Nonpublic	314	(38.5%)	501	(61.5%)
Total	971	(33.5%)	1,926	(66.5%)

Formal Workshops

Approximately two-thirds of the principals indicated they had attended a workshop or workshops dealing with development of young children within the past 10 years. As with completion of formal coursework, significantly more nonpublic school principals (69.4%) reported attending a workshop or workshop, than did public school principals (64.8%). (See Table 4.)

TABLE 4
Distribution of Principals by Workshop Attendance Status and School Type

School Type		Have Attended Workshops		Attended shops
Public	1,510	(64.8%)	822	(35.2%)
Nonpublic	606	(69.4%)	267	(30.6%)
Total	2,116	(66.0%)	1,089	(34.0%)

Preprimary Teaching Experience, Coursework Completion and Workshop Attendance

Are principals with preprimary teaching experience more or less likely to have completed a formal course or courses or attended workshops dealing with the development of young children than their peers without such teaching experience? Cross-tabulation and analysis of the responses of principals to the items concerning teaching experience, coursework completion and workshop attendance revealed that principals with preprimary teaching experience were more likely than their less-experienced peers to have completed one or more formal courses on the development of young children or to have attended workshops related to this topic. (See Tables 5 and 6.)

Table 5 shows that while approximately one-third of the principals indicated they had completed a formal course or courses on the development of young children, over 60% of the principals with preprimary teaching experience had completed a formal course, compared to 29% of the principals without preprimary teaching experience. Further, of principals with preprimary teaching experience reporting no formal coursework, 22.6% indicated an interest in such coursework, compared to 17.6% of inexperienced principals with no formal coursework. Thus, principals with preprimary teaching experience but no formal coursework were more likely to express interest in such coursework than were their less-experienced peers.



TABLE 5

Education of Principals and Preprimary Teaching Experience*

Number and Percentage of Principals Indicating:

		Coursework mpleted	No Formal Coursework Completed		
Without experience With experience Totals	731 240 971	29.22% 60.76% 33.52%	1771 155 1926	70.78% 39.24% 66.48%	
		pals with No Fo ting Interest i			
Without experience With experience Totals	312 35 347	17.6% 22.6% 18.0%			

^{*}Percentages are based on the 2,897 rrincipals responding to both items. "Teaching experience" refers to preprimary teaching experience.

Table 6 shows that while two-thirds of all principals have attended a workshop or workshops, 83% of the principals with preprimary teaching experience have attended a workshop, compared to 64% of the principals without preprimary teaching experience. Further, of the 17% of principals with preprimary teaching experience reporting no workshop attendance, 27 or 38% of them indicated an interest in such workshop, compared to 41.5% (427) of the principals without experience and reporting no workshop attendance. Thus, principals with preprimary teaching experience were far more likely to have attended a workshop, and they were slightly less likely to express interest in attending workshops if they had not previously done so than were their less-experienced peers.

TABLE 6

Preprimary Teaching and Workshop Experience of Principals*

Number and Percentage of Principals Indicating:

	Have At Works			Attended shops
Without experience With experience Totals	1,769 347 2,116	63.7% 83.0% 66.0%	71,018 71 1,089	36,3% 17.0% 33.9%
Principals Who Have Not Attending a Workshop:	Attended a W	orkshop But N	ould Be Inter	ested in
Without experience With experience Totals	427 27 454		41.5% 38.0% 41.7%	



RESPONSES TO ISSUE STATEMENTS

Principals were asked to respond to eight issue statements based upon the rationales described in the following paragraphs. Their responses are summarized and described in the remainder of this section, and the patterns of responses among groups of principals are also shown.

The issue of school admission criteria centers upon divergent opinions concerning the congruence between children's chronological ages and their respective developmental ages in the practical context of establishing a fair and reasonable set of rules to determine which children can or must be admitted to kindergarten or first grade. The diversity of compulsory school attendance ages, permissive school admission ages, and kindergarten ages found in the various states led to assessing the principals' opinion on the appropriateness of using chronological age as a main criterion to determine placement of children in kindergarten and first grade programs. Principals were asked to indicate their agreement or disagreement with the statement: "Chronological age should be the main criterion used to determine the placement of children in kindergarten and first grade."

The issue of all-day kindergarten centers upon divergent opinions concerning (a) whether daily attendance by kindergarten students for the full school day affects greater achievement by students than is attained by attending the traditional half-day, everyday kindergarten program or the equivalent all-day, alternate-day kindergarten program, and (b) whether kindergarten students are typically too immature to benefit from a well-designed kindergarten program that operates on a full-day basis. The current operation of all three types of kindergarten schedules and the increase in enrollments of children in full-day programs prompted a question on the full-day kindergarten. Principals were asked to indicate their agreement or disagreement with the statement: "Public schools should operate the kindergarten program all-day everyday rather than half-day everyday or all-day on alternate days."

The issue of whether public schools should operate childcare, preschool and parent education programs is multi-faceted and was addressed through five questions. The first facet of this issue addressed the presence of unsupervised, latchkey children and the need and efficiency of providing before- and after-school childcare services for these children. Principals were asked to respond to the statement: "Each public school should offer before- and after-school childcare services (latchkey services) for all school-age children who need them."

The second facet of this issue reflects the fact that more children are enrolled in day-care, preschool, and nursery school as a result of (a) increased parental interest in securing early learning experiences for their children, (b) the increasing prevalence of single-parent families--where the parent is or is attempting to be actively engaged in the labor force, and (c) the increase in families where both parents work. Principals were asked to indicate their agreement or disagreement with the statements: "Public schools should offer a prekindergarten program to children between 3 and 5 years of age," and "Public schools should offer childcare and educational experiences to infants and children below 3 years of age."



The third facet of the issue involved the question of parent education. Significant attention has been given to the subject of parent education as a factor in improving childrearing practices which are likely to result in more effective parenting and better communications between parents and children. Therefore, princip rere asked to respond to the statement: "Public schools should offer parent education programs for teenage and adult parents."

The last issue, dealing with the question of free or subsidized provision of services, reflects equity or equal opportunity concerns. Presently, some children have greater opportunities for early learning experiences because their parents possess the financial ability to purchase those services and are willing to do so, while many children lack such opportunities because their parents are financially unable to purchase such services. In securing equal educational opportunities, such opportunities could be provided free by public schools and financed by taxpayers and/or third-party payers, or provided on a tuition basis that incorporates subsidies keyed to the parents' ability to pay. Therefore, principals were asked to indicate their agreement or disagreement with the statements: "Any childcare or preschool services provided to parents by public schools should be provided at no cost to parents," and "Public schools should be allowed to charge tuition for childcare and preschool programs based upon parents' ability to pay."

Responses of All Principals

Principals disagreed (90.5%) most strongly with the statement: "Public schools should offer childcare and educational experiences to infants and children below 3 years of age." (See Table 7.) They agreed (78.1%) most strongly with the statement: "Public schools should offer parent education programs for teenage and adult parents." (See Table 8.) The vast majority (66.6%) of principals agreed that, "Public schools should be allowed to charge tuition for childcare and preschool programs based upon the parents' ability to pay." (See Table 9.) Conversely, the vast majority (78.4%) of principals disagreed that, "Childcare or preschool services provided to parents should be provided at no cost to parents." (See Table 10.)

Principals were almost equally divided on the statement: "Public schools should offer prekindergarten programs for children between 3 and 5 years of age," with 49.9% agreeing. (See Table 11.) They also were almost equally divided regarding kindergarten admission age: some 48.8% agreed that chronological age should be the primary criterion for kindergarten admission. (See Table 12). Regarding full-day kindergarten, 38.1% agreed that kindergarten should be operated on an all-day, everyday schedule, rather than on a half-day, everyday or all-day, alternate-day schedule. (See Table 13). Finally, principals were generally opposed to the provisions of before- and after-school latchkey programs, with 73.1% disagreeing with the statement: "Public schools should offer before- and after-school childcare (latchkey) services for all school-age children who need them." (See Table 14).



TABLE 7

Childcare and Educational Experiences for Infants and Children below 3 Years of Age

Response	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	Cumulative %
Strongly Agree	86	2.5	2.5
Agree	243	7.0	9.5
Disagree	1,470	42.4	51.9
Strongly Disagree	1,669	48.1	100.0

TABLE 8

Parent Education Programs for Teenage and Adult Parents

Response	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Cumulative %</u>
Strongly Agree	845	24.4	24.4
Agree	1,863	53.7	78.1
Disagree	552	15.9	94.0
Strongly Disagree	208	6.0	100.0

TABLE 9

Tuition for Childcare and Preschool Programs Based upon the Parents' Ability to Pay

Response	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	Cumulative %
Strongly Agree Agree	703 1,571	20.6 46.0	20.6° 66.6
Disagree	765	22.4	89.0
Strongly Disagree	376	11.0	100.0

TABLE 10
Childcare or Freschool Services Provided at No Cost to Parents

Response	<u>#</u>	%	Cumulative %
Strongly Agree	177	5.2	5.2
Agree	560	16.4	21.6
Disagree	1,560	45.7	67.3
Strongly Disagree	1,116	32.7	100.0



TABLE 11

Pre-Kindergarten Programs
(Between 3 and 5 years of age)

Response	<u>#</u>	<u>3</u>	Cumulative %
Strongly Agree	532	15.4	15.4
Agree	1,190	34.5	49.9
Disagree	1,212	35.1	85.0
Strongly Disagree	519	15.0	100.0

TABLE 12

Chronological Age Criterion

Response	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Cumulative %</u>
Strongly Agree Agree Disagree	315 1,369 1,312	9.1 39.7 38.0	9.1 48.8 86.8
Strongly Disagree	456	13.2	100.0

TABLE 13

Kindergarten Program Schedules (Kindergarten Schedules should be operated all-day everyday)

Response	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	Cumulative %
Strongly Agree	529	15.4	15.4
Agree	788	22.7	38.1
Disagree	1,505	43.7	31.8
Strongly Disagree	624	18.1	99.9

TABLE 14

Before- and After-School Childcare Services

Response	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Cumulative %</u>
Strongly Agree	235	6.8	6.8
Agree	692	20.0	26.8
Disagree	1,548	44.8	70.6
Strongly Disagree	979	28.3	99.9

Responses of Principals by School Type

Responses of public and nonpublic school principals were compared. Proportionately, more nonpublic school principals agreed with the statements concerning latchkey services (37.6% vs. 22%), parent education programs (84.7% vs. 75.6%) and tuition charges (76.3% vs. 62.9%) than did public



school principals. In every instance except the issue of prekindergarten programs, the majority opinion (agreement or disagreement) of nonpublic school principals matched that of the public school principals.

Slightly over half (50.5%) of the nonpublic school principals agreed with the statement: "Public schools should offer a prekindergarten programs to children between 3 and 5 years of age," while slightly less than half (49.6%) of the public school principals agreed with this statement. (See Table 15.)

TABLE 15
Percentages of Public and Nonpublic Principals
Agreeing with Issue Statements

ISSUE	% of	Principals Public	Agreeing wi Nonpublic	th Issue State Difference	ement Overall
Age as Main Criterion		49.7	46.4	3.3	48.8
Latchkey Services		22.8	37.6	14.8	26.8
All-day Kindergarten		40.1	33.1	7.0	38.1
Prekindergarten Programs		49.6	50.5	0.9	49.9
Programs for 0 to 3- year-old Children		[*] 9 . 8	8.7	1.1	9.5
Parent Education Programs		75.6	84.7	9.1	78.1
Tuition-Based Programs		62.9	76.3	13.4	66.6
Free Services		23.5	16.6	6.9	21.6

Responses of Principals by School Type and Teaching Experience

Table 16 shows the responses of public and nonpublic school principals subdivided into groups with and without preprimary teaching experience as well as the overall response of principals. The majority of public school principals without preprimary teaching experience (50.8%) agreed with the statement: "Chronological age should be the main criterion used to determine placement of children in kindergarten and first grade," while overall and among the three other groups of principals, the majority view was to the contrary.

A majority of public school principals with preprimary teaching experience (53.4%) agreed with the statement: "Public schools should operate the kindergarten program all-day everyday rather than half-day everyday or all day on alternate days," expressing a view far more favorable than the other groups. Majorities of both public and nonpublic school principals with preprimary teaching experience agreed that "Public schools should offer a pre-kindergarten program to children between 3 and 5 yeas of age," by 62.3% and 53.0%, respectively. The views of principals with preprimary teaching experience were generally similar to those of principals without preprimary teaching experience on all other opinion issues assessed in this survey



although more favorable towards providing services to young children. Thus, while the opinions of principals with preprimary teaching experience were generally more favorable than the opinions expressed by their less-experienced peers, the effect of experience was not sufficient to cause divergent majority opinions except concerning prekindergarten programs, all-day kindergartens, and the use of age as the main admission criterion.

TABLE 16

Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Statements by School Type and Teaching Experience

		Publi	С	Nonpubl	ic
Issue Age as Main	Overal1	With Preprimary Teaching Experience	Without Preprimary Teaching Experience	With Preprimary Teaching Experience	Without Preprimary Teaching Experience
Criterion	48.8	39.8	50.8	36.5	49.1
Latchkey Services	26.8	32.9	21.6	49.3	34.4
All-day Kindergarter	n 38.1	53.4	38.7	41.6	30.8
Pre-Kindergarten Programs	49.9	62.3	48.2	53.0	49.9
Programs for O to 3-year-old Children	n 9.5	19.1	8.8	14.4	7.2
Parent Education Programs	78.1	87.9	74.2	84.9	74.7
Tuition-Based Programs	66.6	69.4	62.2	65.1	76.5
Free Services	21.6	23.1	23.5	19.4	15.8

Responses of Principals by School Type and Course Completion

Table 17 shows the responses of public and nonpublic school principals subdivided by who did or did not complete a formal course related to early childhood education. The majority of public school principals who have not completed a formal course (51.8%) agreed with the statement: "Chronological age should be the main criterion used to determine the placement of children in kindergarten and first grade," while overall and among the three other groups of principals, the majority disagreed. This group of principals (public school principals with no coursework completed) also disagreed with the other three groups of principals on the issue of prekindergarten programs. Less than half of the public school principals without coursework (46.4%) agreed with the statement: "Public schools should offer a prekindergarten program to children between 3 and 5 years of age," while the majority of principals among the other three groups agreed with this



statement. Completion of formal coursework in the case of public school principals was consistently associated with more favorable responses concerning the provision of early childhood education programs, though such was not the case of nonpublic school principals who have completed coursework. Nonpublic school principals without coursework more strongly favored public school provision of prekindergarten programs than did their peers with coursework, and the same observation holds in the case of parent education.

TABLE 17

Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Statements by School Type and Coursework Completion

	Overall	Pub1 i		Nonpub1	ic
ISSUE Age as Main		With Coursework	Without Coursework	With Coursework	Without Coursework
Criterion	48.8	43.7	51.8	42.2	47.9
Latchkey Services	26.8	28.2	20.9	42.0	35.4
All-day Kindergarten	38.1	45.8	38.2	39.9	29.8
Prekindergarten Programs	49.9	58.7	46.4	50.5	55.6
Programs for 0 to 3-year-old Children	9.5	15.1	7.9	13.2	6.7
Parent Education Programs	78.1	81.7	73.4	82.3	85.9
Tuition-Based Programs	66.6	65.5	62.0	71.1	78.8
Free Services	21.6	22.9	23.7	19.2	15.4

Responses of Principals by School Type and Workshop Completion

Table 18 shows the responses of public and nonpublic school principals analyzed by those who have or have not attended a workshop(s) related to early childhood education. The majorities of both public and nonpublic school principals who have not attended a workshop agreed (52.1% and 50.4%) with the statement: "Chronological age should be the main criterion used to determine placement of children in kindergarten and first grade," while overall, and among the two groups of principals who have attended workshops, the majority disagreed. The majorities of both public and nonpublic school principals who did not attend any workshops related to early childhood education disagreed with the statement: "Public schools should offer a prekindergarten program to children between 3 and 5 years of age," while the majorities (52.7% and 53.4%) of public and nonpublic school principals who have attended workshops agreed with the statement. Both public and nonpublic school principals with workshop attendance consistently responded more favorably to the public school provision of early childhood education programs and services than did their peers who have not attended workshops.



TABLE 18

Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Statements by School Type and Workshop Attendance

	Overall	Pub1		Nonpub	lic
ISSUE Age as Main		With Workshop Attn.	Without Workshop Attn.	With Workshop Attn.	Without Workshop Attn.
. Criterion	48.8	48.1	52.1	44.0	50.4
Latchkey Services	26.8	24.2	20.8	40.6	32.4
All-day Kindergarten	38.1	42.5	36.7	35.5	28.9
Pre-Kindergarten Programs	49.9	52.7	45.1	53.4	45.7
Programs for 0 to 3- year-old Children	9.5	10.9	8.2	9.6	7.3
Parent Education Programs	78.1	78.4	71.4	85.1	84.4
Tuition-Based Programs	66.6	63.5	62.1	76.1	76.7
Free Services	21.6	23.0	24.2	18.3	13.7

RESPONSES OF PRINCIPALS BY BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE

Tables 19 through 27 show the responses of public and nonpublic school principals subdivided into groups with various backgrounds and experience. The analysis groups were defined by a combination of preprimary teaching experience, completed formal coursework and workshop attendance. The most expert group of principals possess preprimary teaching experience, education and training/workshop attendance, while the other groups are absent one or more of these qualifications. The responses from the most expert groups are compared with the responses of principals absent all three qualifications in Table 19, while Tables 20 through 27 show the responses from all 16 analysis groups.

Responses of Principals with Extremes of Expertise

The majority of both public and nonpublic school principals who lacked preprimary teaching experience, coursework and workshop attendance (54.0% and 51.9%) agreed with the statement: "Chronological age should be the main criterion used to determine placement of children in kindergarten and first grade," while principals with all three qualifications registered a substantially different view (Table 19.)



The majority of public school principals with all three characteristics (55.6%) agreed with the statement: "Public schools should operate the kindergarten program all-day everyday rather than half-day everyday or all-day on alternate days," while the majorities of the other three groups registered the contrary view.

Both public and nonpublic school principals with all three characteristics (65.7% and 55.2%) agreed with the statement: "Public schools should offer a prekindergarten program to children between 3 and 5 years of age," while the other groups registered the contrary view.

In two instances, Latchkey Services and Programs for 0 to 3-year-old Children, substantially larger proportions of principals with all three characteristics agreed with the issue statements compared to the other groups of principals. Agreement constituted the minority opinion across all four groups, however.

TABLE 19

Percentages of Principals Agreeing with Issue Statement by School Type and Extremes of Expertise

		Public		Nonpubl	ic
Age as Main Criterion)verall 48.8	With Exp./Educ./ Wrkshp 35.4	Without Exp./Educ./ Wrkshp 54.0	With Exp./Educ./ Wrkshp 30.8	Without Exp./Educ./ Wrkshp 51.9
Latchkey Services	26.8	40.4	19.9	47.6	30.2
All-day Kindergarten	38.1	55.6	34.9	39.4	25.6
Prekindergarten Programs	49.9	65.7	42.5	55.2	46.6
Programs for 1, 2, or 3-year-old Children	9.5	25.5	6.9	17.5	6.0
Parent Education Programs	78.1	91.5	69.7	83.0	85.7
Tuition-Based Programs	66.6	74.2	60.3	71.3	79.5
Free Services	21.6	21.7	25.3	21.2	12.9

Responses to Issue Statements across All Background/Experience Groups

<u>Issue Statement 1</u> - "Chronological age should be the main criterion used to determine placement of children in kindergarten and first grade."

Among the groups of responding principals, the strongest agreement (55.5%) was registered by nonpublic school principals with only preprimary teaching experience and no formal coursework or workshop attendance. Public school



principals with both preprimary teaching experience and formal coursework but no workshops attended (23.5%) were least likely to register agreement with the proposition. (See Table 20.)

TABLE 20

Chronological Age as an Admission Criterion

Chronological age should be the main criterion used to determine placement of children in kindergarten and first grad.

Characteristics of Group				% Agreeing or	Group
	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Majority
School Type	Experience	Completed	Attended	with Statement	Opinion Opinion
Public	without	without	without	54.8	Agree
Public	without	without	wi th	50.0	_
Public	wi thout	with	without	40.2	Disagree
Public	without	with ´	with	47.5	Disagree
Public	with	without	without	45.1	Disagree
Public	with	without	wi th	45.0	Disagree
Public	with	with	without	23.5	Disagree
Public	with	wi th	with	35.4	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	without	without	51 `. 9	Agree
Nonpublic	without	without	with	45.9	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	with	without	46.9	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	with	wi th	51 °. 1	Agree
Nonpublic	with	without	without	55.5	Agree
Nonpublic	with	without	with	41.0	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	with	without	43.8	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	with	wi th	30.8	Disagree

<u>Issue Statement 2</u> - "Each public school should offer before- and <u>after-school childcare</u> services (latchkey services) for all school-age children who need them."

All of the groups disagreed with this statement except nonpublic school principals with preprimary teaching experience who have attended a workshop but completed no formal courses (53.3%) and nonpublic school principals with preprimary teaching experience and formal coursework (75%). The group agreeing with the statement the least (19.7%) was the group comprised of public school principals with no experience or workshop attendance but who have completed a formal course. (See Table 21.)

TABLE 21 Latchkey Services

Each public school should offer latchkey services for all school-age children who need them.

Characteristics of Group % Agreeing or Gr						
	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Majority	
School Type	Experience	Completed	Attended	with Statement	Opinion	
Public	without	without	without	19.9	Disagree	
Public	without	without	with	20.6	Disagree	
Public	without	with	without	19.7	Disagree	
Public	without	with	with	27.6	Disagree	
Public	wi th	without	without	32.7	Disagree	
Pub'ic	with	without	with	26.6	Disagree	
Public	with	with	without	33.3	Disagree	
Public	with	with	with	40.4	Disagree	
Nonpublic	without	without	without	30.2	Disagree	
Nonpublic	wi thout	without	with	37.1	Disagree	
Nonpublic	without	with	without	32.7	Disagree	
Nonpublic	without	With	with	37.4	Disagree	
Nonpublic	wi th	without	without	27 . 3 .	Disagree	
Nonpublic	with	without	with	53.3	Agree	
Nonpublic	wi th	with	Without	75.0	Agree	
Nonpublic	wi th	wi th	with	47.6	Disagree	

<u>Issue Statement 3</u> - "Public schools should operate the kindergarten program all-day everyday rather than half-day everyday or all-day on alternate days."

A majority of four groups of principals agreed with this statement, including public school principals with experience and without coursework and workshop attendance (54.4%), public school principals with experience and coursework but without workshop attendance (66.7%), public school principals with experience and coursework and workshop attendance (55.6%), and nonpublic school principals with experience and coursework but no workshop attendance (75.0%). Nonpublic school principals without experience, coursework or workshop attendance (25.6%) agreed with the statement the least among the groups. (See Table 22.)



TABLE 22 All-Day Everyday Kindergarten Programming

Public schools should operate the kindergarten program all-day everyday rather than half-day everyday or all-day on alternate days.

Character	ristics of Gr	oup		% Agreeing or	Group
Cabaal Tura	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Majority
School Type	<u>Experience</u>	<u>Completed</u>	Attended	with Statement	Opinion
Public	without	without	without	34.9	Disagree
Public	without	without	wi th	39.4	Disagree
Public	wi thout	with	without	36.8	Disagree
Public	without	with	with	45.1	Disagree
Public	wi th	without	without	54.4	Agree
Public	wi th	without	with	46.8	Disagree
Public	wi th	with	without	66.7	Agree
Public	wi th	with	with	55.6	Agree
Nonpublic	without	without	without	25.6	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	without	with	31.3	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	with	without	32.7	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	with	wi th	38.9	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	without	without	27.3	Disagree
Nonpublic	wi th	without	with	41.7	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	with	without	75.0	Agree
Nonpublic	with	with	with	39.4	Disagree

<u>Issue Statement 4</u> - "Public schools should offer a prekindergarten program to children between 3 and 5 years of age."

A majority of 5 of the 16 groups of principals disagreed with this statement, while one group (nonpublic principals without experience and with both coursework and workshop attendance) was evenly divided on the issue, and a majority of the 10 remaining groups agreed with the statement. Public school principals with experience and coursework but without workshop attendance (66.7%) agreed with the statement most frequently, while nonpublic school principals without experience, with coursework and without workshop attendance (40.0%) agreed with the statement least frequently. (See Table 23.)



TABLE 23 Prekindergarten Programs

Public schools should offer a prekindergarten program to children between 3 and 5 years of age.

Characteri	istics of Gro	up		% Agreeing or	Group
	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Majority
School Type	<u>Experience</u>	Completed	Attended	with Statement	Opinion
Public	without	without	wi thou't	42.5	Disagree
Public	without	without	with	48.1	Disagree
Public	wi thout	with	without	55.6	Agree
Public	without	with	with	57. 6	Agree
Public	wi th	without	without	54.9	Agree
Public	wi th	without	wi th	62.0	Agree
Public	wi th	with	without	66.7	Agree
Public	with	with	wi th	65.7	Agree
Nonpublic	without	without	without	46.6	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	without	with	54.1	Agree
Nonpublic	without	wi th	without	40.0	Disagree
Nonpublic	wi thout	with	with '	50.0	-
Nonpublic	with	without	without	40,9	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	without	with	52.5	Agree
Nonpublic	with	with	without	56.3	Agree
Nonpublic	with	with	wi th	55.2	Agree

<u>Issue Statement 5</u> - "Public school should offer childcare and educational experiences to infants and children below 3 years of age."

Overwhelmingly, principals disagreed with this proposition (9.5% of all principals agreeing). Half of the public school principals with both preprimary teaching experience and formal coursework agreed with this proposition, and 25.5% of the public school principals with preprimary teaching experience, formal coursework and workshop attendance agreed with the proposition. (See Table 24.)



TABLE 24 Programs for 1, 2, and 3-year-olds

Public schools should offer childcare and education ϵ^{-1} experiences to infants and children below 3 years of age.

Characte	ristics of Gr	oup		% Agreeing or	Choup
	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Group
School Type	Experience	Completed	Attended	with Statement	Majority
Public	without	without	without	6.9	Opinion Diagram
Public	without	without	with	8.6	Disagree
Public	Without	with	Without	12.0	Disagree
Public	wi thou t	wi th	wi th	12.1	Disagree
Public	wi th	without	without	5.9	Disagree
Public	with	without	with	12.7	Disagree
Public	with	with	Without	50.0	Disagree
Public	with	with	with	-	-
Nonpublic Property of the Nonpublic Property	Without	without	without	25.5	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	without	with	6.0	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	with		6.4	Disagree
Nonpublic	Without	with	Without	12.0	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	- -	with	9.5	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	without	Without	4.6	Disagree
Nonpublic		without	with	11.7	Disagree
Nonpublic	wi th	with	without	18.8	Disagree
Monhan LIC	wi th	wi th	with	17.5	Disagree

<u>Issue Statement 6</u> - "Public schools should offer parent education programs for teenage and adult parents."

More than three-fourths of all principals (78.1%) agreed with this proposition, and a large majority within each analysis group agreed with the proposition. The smallest proportion of principals agreeing with the proposition was among nonpublic school principals reporting only preprimary teaching experience (63.6%), while all of the nonpublic principals with experience and coursework and without workshop attendance agreed with the statement. (See Table 25.)



- 22 - 27

TABLE 25

Parent Education Programs

Public schools should offer parent education programs for teenage and abult parents.

Characte	ristics of Gr	oup		% Agreeing or	Group
Cabaal Tura	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Majority
<u>School Type</u>	<u>Experience</u>	<u>Completed</u>	Attended	with Statement	Opinion
Public	wi thout	without	without	69.7	Agree
Public	without	without	with	75.3	Agree
Public	without	with	without	76.0	Agree
Public	without	with	with	80.4	Agree
Public	Ψi th	without	without	80.4	Agree
Public	with	without	with	86.3	Agree
Public	with	with	without	94.4	Agree
Public	with	with	with	91.5	Agree
Nonpublic	without	without	without	85.7	Agree
Nonpublic	without	without	with	86.4	Agree
Nonpublic	without	with	without	79.6	Agree
Nonpublic	without	with	with	80.7	Agree
Nonpublic	with	without	without	63.6	Agree
Nonpublic	with	without	with	91.8	Agree
Nonpublic	with	with	without	100.0	Agree
Nonpublic	with	with	with	83.0	Agree

<u>Issue Statement 7</u> - "Public schools should be allowed to charge tuition for childcare and preschool programs based upon parents' (or guardians') ability to pay."

Two-thirds (66.6%) of all principals agreed with this proposition, and more than 60% of principals within each and every analysis group agreed with this proposition. (See Table 26.) Percentages agreeing with this statement ranged from a low of 60.3% among public school principals without experience, coursework and workshop attendance to a high of 83.6% among nonpublic school principals with experience, without coursework and with workshop attendance.



TABLE 26 Tuition Charges Based upon Ability to Pay

Public schools should be allowed to charge tuition for childcare and preschool programs based upon parents' ability to pay.

Characte	ristics of Gr	oup		% Agreeing or	Group
	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Majority
School Type	<u>Experience</u>	Completed	Attended	with Statement	Opinion
Public	without	without	without	60.3	Agree
Public	wi thou t	without	with	63.6	Agree
Public	without	with	without	80.8	Agree
Public	without	with	wi th	61.5	Agree
Public	wi th	Without	without	66.7	Agree
Public	with	without	with	63.1	Agree
Public	with	with	wi thout	77.8	Agree
Public	with	with	wi th	74.2	•
Nonpublic	without	without	Without	79.5	Agree Agree
Nonpublic	without	without	With	77.5	•
Nonpublic	without	with	without	66.0	Agree
Nonpublic	without	with	with	73.1	Agree
Nonpublic	with	without	Wi thout	73.9	Agree
Nonpublic	with	without	with	83.6	Agree
Nonpublic	with	with	without	68.8	Agree
Nonpublic	wi th	with	with	71.3	Agree
				/ I • U	Agree

Issue Statement 8 - "Any childcare or preschool services provided to parents by public schools should be provided at no cost to parents."

Less than one-fourth (21.6%) of all principals agreed with this proposition, and less than one-third of the principals in each and every analysis group agreed with this proposition. The proportions of principals agreeing with the proposition ranged from 9.1% (nonpublic principals with experience but no coursework or workshop attendance) to 28.6% (public principals with experience, no coursework, but with workshop attendance). (See Table 27.)

TABLE 27

Free Programs and Services

Any childcare or preschool services provided to parents by public schools should be provided at no cost to parents.

Character	ristics of Gr	oup		% Agreeing or	Group
	Preprimary	Coursework	Workshops	Strongly Agreeing	Majority
School Type	Experience	Completed	Attended	with Statement	Opinion
Public	without	wi thout	without	25.3	Disagree
Public	without	without	with	21.9	Disagree
Public	without	wi th	without	19.3	Disagree
Public	without	wi th	wi th	24.6	Disagree
Public	with	wi thout	without	21.6	Disagree
Public	wi th	wi thout	wi th	28.6	Disagree
Public	with	with	without	11.8	Disagree
Public	with	with	wi th	21.7	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	wi thout	wi thout	12.9	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	without	with	17.5	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	with	without	16.0	Disagree
Nonpublic	without	with	with	18.0	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	without	without	9.1	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	without	with	18.3	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	wi th	without	26.7	Disagree
Nonpublic	with	wi th	with	21.2	Disagree

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Summary

Almost 40% of the elementary school principals reported teaching experience limited to a single grade span, with 1.3% reporting experience limited to the preprimary level (i.e. grades prekindergarten and kindergarten); 1.6% reporting experience limited to the primary grades (i.e. grades 1, 2 and 3), 32.7% reporting experience limited to the middle-school grades (i.e. grades 4 through 8), and 4.2% reporting experience limited to the high-school grades (i.e. grades 9 through 12). Thirteen percent of the principals reported some experience at the preprimary level, while almost 35% reported some experience at the middle-school level, almost 29% reported some experience at the high-school level, and slightly more than 9% did not indicate experience at any level. Less than 8% of the principals reported experience spanning the preprimary, primary and middle-school grades.

Approximately one-third (33.5%) of the principals reported they had completed one or more formal courses dealing with the development of children below age 8, while only 18% of the principals who have not completed such coursework indicated an interest in doing so.

Almost two-thirds (66.0%) of the principals indicated they had attended one or more workshops dealing with the development of children below age 8, while less than half (42%) of the principals who have not attended a workshop indicated an interest in attending one.



From these data, a "typical" elementary school principal has middle-school teaching experience and does not have teaching experience below the fourth grade level. He or she is likely to have attended one or more workshops related to early childhood education but is unlikely to have completed any formal coursework on the subject. If he or she has not completed a formal course or attended a workshop, he or she is unlikely to express interest in either attending a workshop or completing a formal course.

Elementary school principals agreed with the concept of public school provision of parent education programs (78.1%), and with charging tuition based upon parent's ability to pay for early childhood education programs offered by public schools (66.6%). They disagreed with the concepts of (a) public school provision of childcare and educational service for children under age 3 (90.5%); (b) free provision of any early childhood programs operated by public schools (78.4%) (c) public school provision of latchkey services (73.2%); (d) operation of kindergartens on an all-day, everyday basis rather than on a half-day, everyday or all-day, alternate-day basis (61.9%); and (e) use of chronological age as the primary criterion to determine placement of children in kindergarten and first grade (51.2%).

Elementary school principals were almost equally divided regarding the provision of prekindergarten programs for 3 to 5-year-old children by public schools, with 50.1% registering opposition and 49.9% registering agreement with the proportion.

The factors of preprimary teaching experience, formal coursework and workshop attendance were generally associated with a more positive response to public school provision of early childhood education programs. In the case of the issues of admission criteria and prekindergarten programs, the influence of these factors was reflected by divergent majority opinions between the respective groups with and without teaching experience, formal coursework, and workshop attendance. Teaching experience, formal coursework and workshop attendance do influence the opinions expressed by principals.

Conclusions

If the provision of early childhood education programming in the public schools is to be expanded and improved, and if principals are to play an educational leadership role in the process, there is a need for inservice training in early childhood education on a rather large scale for principals.

Principals do not appear to be aware of the efficacy of early childhood education. If they do recognize the efficacy of early childhood education, they may be concerned about the short-term costs of implementing such programming or the impact such programming might have upon the need for subsequent curriculum modification. In either case, principals are unlikely to assume leadership in this area unless they are strongly convinced of the efficacy and practical feasibility of such programs.

DLN/2676h



Ø

ILLINOIS STATE BOARO OF EDUCATION Department of Planning, Research and Evaluation Research and Statistics Section 100 North First Street Springfield, Illinois 62777

Springfield,	n First Street Illinois 62777	,	
(1-15)		EARLY CHILDHO Survey	OD EDUCATION PROGRAM of Illinois Schools
educational component. Preschool services are services planned program for children no Latch-key programs are program	that provide primarily supervis which emphasize educational a of yet enrolled in kindergarten.	sion and custodial care for chi	ent, and education of non-handicapped ldren. Childcar services may have an the primary focus of an organized and
tor students who need addition transitional grades may or may r	ial educational services before to	more of the traditional grades k ransferring into the regular tradi	er regular school hours. indergarten, 1, 2, or 3, and are designed itional grade. Children enrolled in these ormal instruction to develop reading and
materials and experiences based	d programs refers to programs on individual children's readings	where the primary emphasis is o	on child selected activities with concrete ods and techniques of effective child
PART I Instructions:			
Items 1 through 7 are for the programs as perceived by princip			ous types of early childhood education programs).
school?	cribes your perception of the curre	nt demand by parents for childcare/	preschool services in the area served by your
(16) 1. Very High	2. High	☐ 3. Low	4. Very Low
Which of the following best desc by parents for such services?	ribes the current supply of childcare	/preschool services within the area s	erved by your school relative to the demand
(17) 1. Supply exceeds demand	2. Supply approxim equals demand	demand	4. Supply is much less than demand
What number of your currently e	nrolled students do you estimate ne	ed before or after school (latch-key)	childeare
(18- 21) Number	(22) Don't know	,	· ·
4. What number of your entering Ki	ndergarten population do you estima	ate have attended a preschool or chi	Idcare center?
(23. 26) Number	(27) Don't know		•
5. Is there a regular system of comm from local childcare centers and p (28) 1. Yes 2. No	unication and coordination between reschools?	and among officials in your school,	public school district officials and officials.
If yes, which of the following kind	ds of officials are included in the con	nmunications network?	•
(29) Local public school officials (30) Local private school officials (31) Local daycare providers	(32) Local homecare provid (33) Other (please specify)	ders	
6. Are any childcare or preschool pro	grams operated in your school build	ing by some other public or nonpub	lic agency or parent volunteers?
(34) 1. Yes 2. No7. If yes, check the appropriate of	docarintar in sect of the last		
yes, elleck the appropriate	descriptor in each column below	v:	
(35) Childcare (36) Preschool	Operated By (37) Private agency (38) Parent group		School Space is (40) Rented/Leased (41) Donated
ISBE 86:32 (8/84)	(39) Other Public Agency (specify)	(79-80) Record 01

RIC"

Administration of Sassage Control of

32

-27-

PART II Instructions:

Items 8 through 13 are for the purpose of obtaining information about the types of Kindergarten programs currently in operation and screening instruments used at the Kindergarten level for various purposes. Please check the appropriate response or responses to each item as indicated.

0 5								
	ora the num					below which are operating in your	school.	
(16·17 <u>)</u>						ten with academic orientation	•	J
(18-19 <u>)</u>		b.	Regular hal	f-day everyda	y Kindergar	ten with experiential/social/play o	rientat.on	
(20-21 <u>)</u>						ith academic orientation		
			All day alte	rnate day Kir	ndergarten w	ith experiential/social/play orienta	ition	
						academic orientation		
			All day ever	yday Kinder	garten with e	experiential/social/play orientation	1	
		g.		Kindergarte				
(30-31)		h.	Other(s), de	scribe:				
		ied your Ki n children wi	ndergarten th preschoo	curriculum o l/childcare e	r added add xperience an	fitional curricular options within d children without such experienc	the past 5 years to dea e? Why?	with differences in the
(32)				for this reaso				
				ons were add				
	3. YES B	ut, not becau pecify reason	ise of differ 	ences in read	iness of child	dren with or without preschool exp	perîence.	•
	4. NO N	o differences	in readines	s have been c	bserved.			
	5. NO N	o curricular (hanges hav	e been neede	d or made fo	r this reason.		
						ed in the near future.		
10. waa								
(22)	your scrioor	oner a regun	n Kinderya	ten program	tor children	to begin their schooling January of	or February, rather than	this Fall?
(33)	1. Yes 🔲	2. No						
11. Does all ch	your school uldren upon	or district in entrance to	outinely co Kindergarte	nduct develon?	opmental scr	eening (other than Health, Vision	, Hearing and Special E	ducation Screening) for
		ose answer question		and 13)				
12. When	was develor	omental scree	ning or test	ing conducte	d for abilde	t		
			anny or test	mg conducte	a ioi chilare	n who will enroll in Kindergarten	in the Fall term of the 1	984-85 school year?
(35) <u> </u>	March 84 April 84		=	//ay 84 une 84		(39)	(41) September (42) Other (spe	
13. _{PURP}	OSES (20, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 1	Special space (King)	Kingenent;	Seconness alemanies (Seconness alemanies (Seconnes)	Other loves specific	INSTRUCTIONS: W purposes? Please plac to each of the thirteen	hich screening tests wi e a check mark for each tests or instruments sepo	purpose which applies arately,
		/				SCREENING INSTRUI	MENTS/TESTS	
	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	ABC Inventory to Determine K	Indergarten Readiness	
	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	Boehm Test of Basic Concepts		凝
	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	Brigance Diagnostic Inventory	of Basic Skills	S
	(31)	(32)	(33)	(34)	(35)	Denver Developmental Screening		e e
	1362	(37)	(38)	(39)	(40)	DIAL (Developmental Indicator		nunal 🍃
	(41)	(42)	(43)	(44)	(45)	Gesell Kindergarten Readiness S		a.
	(46)	(47)	(48)	(49)	(50)	Metropolitan Readiness Tests		ning)
	(51)	(52)	(53)	(54)	(55)	Peabody Picture Vocabulary		₩
	(56)	(57)	(58)	(59)	(60)	Peotone Early Prevention of Sci	hool Eniture	7 EST
	(61)	(62)	(63)	(64)	(65)	Portage Checklist	TOOL LAUGIE	M
	(66)	(67)	(68)	(69)	(70)	1		L21
79-80)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)		Screening Test of Academic Rea	ainess	
Record 03	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(20)	Other(s), specify.		
					(25)	Locally developed test(s)		•
					<u>. </u>			

C MORE TO A MANAGEMENT AND A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

Contract of Marie Services

PART	Ш	instructions
------	---	--------------

Items 14 through 21 are designed to solicit the opinions of principals statewide regarding their view of the appropriate role of publi schools in various aspects of early childhood education. Item 22 asks information concerning direct teaching experience at variou of child development. Please check the response to Items 15 through 21 that most accurately reflects your opinion on the issue at dressed by each item. For Item 22 record the number of years of teaching experience you have had at each of the levels indicated the second indicated the second

NOTE: For Items 14 through 21 which follow, respond in accordance with your opinion AS IF COSTS WERE NOT A FACTOR.

Nonpublic school officials are asked to respond to these items as well even though the questions deal with public school issues.

			tron even though the questi	ons dear with public school issues.
<u>D</u>	you agree or disagree tha	<u>it:</u>		
14.	Chronological age should be the n	nain criterion used to deter	mine placement of children in kindergarte	en and first grade
(16	1. Strongly Agree	2. Agree	3. Disagree	4 Strongly Disagree
				services) for all school age children who
	need it.	and and area	chool childcare services (latch key	services) for all school age children who
(17,	1. Strongly Agree	2. Agree	3. Disagree	4. Strongly Disagree
16.	Public schools should operate	the Kindergarten progr	am all-day everyday rather than had	f-day everyday or all-day on alternate day
(18)	1. Strongly Agree	2 Agree	2 Disease	ready everyday or alleday on alternate day
			3. Disagree	4. Strongly Disagree
17.	Public schools should offer a	pre∙kindergarten program	m to children between 3 and 5 years	of age.
	1. Strongly Agree			
				4. Strongly Disagree
18.	Public schools should offer ch	ildcare and educational	experiences to infants and children	pelow 3 years of age.
(20)	1. Strongly Agree	2. Agree		
			3. Disagree	4. Strongly Disagree
19.	Public schools should offer pa	rent education programs	s for teenage and adult parents.	
(21)		program	o to teenage and adult parents.	
12.7	1. Strongly Agree	2. Agree	3. Disagree	4. Strongly Disagree
20.	Public schools should be allow	wed to charge tuition fo	or childcare and preschool programs	based upon the parents' (or guardians')
			programs	
(22)	1. Strongly Agree	2. Agree	3 Disagree	4. Strongly Disagree
21.	Any childcare or preschool ser	vices provided to parent	s by public schools should be provid	ed at no cost to parents
(23)	1. Strongly Agree	2. Agree	3 Disease	
			5. Disagree	4. Strongly Disagree
22	At which of the following L			
3	approximate numbers of years	vers or grades have you taught at each level in t	, the principal, had previous classro	oom teaching experience? (Record the
124.			or species provided.)	
25)	Pre-kindergarten (28-29	9) Grade 1	/32,331 Grade 3	(24, 25)
(20. 27) -	Kindergarten (30-31) Grade 2	(32-33) Grade 3 (34-35) Grade 4 to 8	(30.37)Grades 9 to 12
				(38)None (check it none)
23 v	Within the last 10 years have			
	levelopment of young children	ou, the principal, had a li.e. children below age	ny formal coursework or attended w 81?	orkshops that focused primarily on the
(check one)	·	,-	
(39)_	1. Yes, formal courses	2 No formal cou	rses3 No, but interested in	n formal courses
	check one)			vo arvov
(40)	1. Yes, workshops	2 November	_	
-		2 No workshops	3. No, but interested in	n workshops



The second statement of the second second

(79-80) Record 05

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

This part is to be completed only if an early childhood education program other than a regular half-day everyday kindergarten program or special education program is PART IV Instructions: operated in your school under your jurisdiction. Use one column for each program. Record the information requested regarding each program in the space provided according to the instructions along side each item 1 through 13. PRINCIPAL S NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER **PROGRAM PROGRAM PROGRAM PROGRAM PROGRAM PROGRAM** 1 2 3 4 5 6 END 1. Record the name of this program as used in the district to describe the program. 2. Check the number which best describes the type of program. (Check 1 number for each program) See definitions on page 1. (16) (161 (16) (16)(16) (16) 1. Transitional 5. Kindergarten-all-day everyday 2. Childcare 6. Kindergarten-all-day alternate day 3. Latch Key (5 yrs. & up) 7. Head Start Program 4. Preschool 8. Other-specify Specify Specify Specify Specify Specify Specify 3. Is this program primarily: (Check 1 number for each program) (17) (17)(17) (17)(17) (17) 1. Academically oriented 2. Experiential/social/play oriented 3. Balance of both 4. How many children are served in this program? (18-21)(18-21)5a. Is there a waiting list for this program? 1, Yes 1, Yes 1. Yes 1. Yes (22) (22) (22) 2. No 2. No 2 No 2 No 2. No If 5a. is "Yes," how many children are on the waiting list? (23-26) (23-26) (23-26) (23-26) (23-26) 23-26) Youngest Youngest 6. What is the age range of children served in this program? Youngest Youngest Youngest Youngest (27-28)Yrs. (27.28) Yrs. (27.28) Yrs. (27-28) Yrs (27.28) Yis (27.28)Yrs (29.30) Mos. (29-30) Mos. (29.30) Mos (29.30)Mos. (29-30) Mos (29.30) Mos Oldest: Oldest: Oldest: Oldest: Oldest: Oldest. (31-32) Yrs. (31-32) Yrs. (31.32) Yrs. (31-32) 35 Yrs (31.32) Yrs (31-32) Yrs. Mos. (33.34) (33-34) Mos. (33.34) Mos. (33.34) Mos. (33.34) Mos (33.34) Mos.

PAR	II T	I Inst	tructio	nne•

Items 14 through 21 are designed to solicit the opinions of principals statewide regarding their view of the appropriate role of publi schools in various aspects of early childhood education. Item 22 asks information concerning direct teaching experience at various of the solicity of this survey, and Item 23 asks for information concerning coursework or workshops in the are dressed by each item. For Item 22 record the number of years of teaching experience you have had at each of the levels indicated for Item 23 check the appropriate response.

NOTE: For Items 14 through 21 which follow, respond in accordance with your opinion AS IF COSTS WERE NOT A FACTOR.

Nonpublic school officials are asked to respond to these items as well even though the questions deal with public school issues.

				though the questi	Ons dear with put	one school issues.
Do you agree or						
14. Chronological age	should be the main cri	terion used to determin	e placement of ch	aildren in kindergarti	en and first grade	
(16) 1. Strong	y Agree	2. Agree		3. Disagree		Strongly Disagree
15. Each public sch need it.	ool should offer b	efore and after scho	ool childcare s	ervices (latch key	services) for all	school age children who
(17) 1. Stron				3. Disagree	· ———	Strongly Disagree
16. Public schools st	nould operate the K	indergarten program	ali-dav everyd:	av rather than hal	If-day avaryday a	r all-day on alternate day
(18) 1. Stron	gly Agree	2. Agree		A	4.	
17. Public schools st	ould offer a pre-kin	dergarten program ti	o children betw	een 3 and 5 years	s of age.	
(19) 1 Stron	gly Agree	2. Agree		3 Disagree	4.	. Strongly Disagree
18. Public schools sh			periences to inf	ants and children	below 3 years of	age.
(20) 1. Stron	gly Agree	2. Agree		3. Disagree	4.	. Strongly Disagree
19. Public schools sh			or teenage and a	dult parents.		
(21) 1. Stron					4.	
			childcare and p	reschool programs	s based upon the	parents' (or guardians')
(22) 1. Strong	yly Agree	2. Agree	 :	3. Disagree	4.	Strongly Disagree
21. Any childcare or	preschool services p	rovided to parents by	y public school	s should be provic	ded at no cost to	parents.
(23) 1. Strong	lly Agree	^	;			Strongly Disagree .
22. At which of the approximate num	following levels or bers of years taught	grades have you, that each level in the s	the principal, his spaces provided	ad previous classr i.)	oom teaching ex	perience? (Record the
24.						
25) Pre-kinderg	arten (28-29)	_ Grade 1	(32-33)	Grade 3	(36-37)Grad	des 9 to 12
27) Kındergarte	n (30-31)	_Grade 2	(34-35)	Grade 4 to 8	(38)None	e (check if none)
23. Within the last 10 development of you (check one)	years, have you, thoung children (i.e. c	e principal, had any hildren below age 8)	formal coursew?	ork or attended v	workshops that fo	ocused primarily on the
39) 1. Yes, form	nal courses	2. No formal courses	s3	No, but interested i	in formal courses	
(check one)						
40) 1, Yes,	«shops	2. No workshops	3.	No, but interested i	in workshops	

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

(79-80) Record 05



n hitte van Saladalanan di di di di sin sidalan da salada. A di silabah di silabah da ni salah a salah di sila